

- State and Local Historic Preservation Issues.
- US Fish and Wildlife Service.

5.11.7 Program Security (1.1.8)

Boeing shall provide information security, including certification and accreditation for *SBI*net information systems and infrastructure. Boeing's information security system design is transparent, fully compliant, and meets all of the SBI's Cyber Security Standards and related federal security policies. It incorporates industry best practices that improve incident identification and mitigation and increase probability of protection in the future. Boeing's SBI security solution measures up to the Concept of Operations requirements to:

- Comply fully with industry standards to implement data authentication, verification, encryption, and information exchange.
- Incorporate security services in a consistent manner such that the SBI's information is protected and accessible.
- Provide unimpeded and readily available business services.
- Include controls and processes that will support the security architecture, so that in the event of an attack by an unauthorized entry, the response will be quick and efficient.
- Depict clearly in the security architecture the interconnection and dependencies associated with physical and environment threats.
- Emphasize, as a priority, that responses to security incidents that do occur be quick and effective and that controls will be tightened iteratively to deter future occurrences.

To ensure *SBI*net system security is fully compliant with all applicable government security standards, one full-time Information Systems Security Officer, ISSO shall be provided. The ISSO should be a security expert and have full responsibility for SBI information program security including but not limited to:

- Developing and maintaining all required security plans.
- Capital planning for security.
- Contingency and disaster recovery planning.
- Coordinating with CBP/DHS to ensure compliance of SBI systems and information processing facilities.

6 Performance Standards

Boeing shall provide performance measurement and reporting for the *SBI*net program pursuant to the Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan (QASP) summarized below. Figure 1 provides Boeing's Program Management Performance QASP and Figure 2 provides examples of Program Management Performance factors. Boeing shall obtain approval of the QASP pursuant to the Contract Data Requirements List (CDRL) and use it to implement the performance measurement and reporting under this Program Management Task Order.

7 Award Fee

The award fee board will institute an Award Fee Incentive/Disincentive Plan. The Award Fee rating period will be quarterly. The fee percentages will be adjusted quarterly based on program emphasis.

| Supporting Metrics | Standard | Accept Quality | Monitor/Report Method | Measure Freq | Incentive/Dis-Incentive |
|---|---|----------------|-----------------------|--------------|-------------------------|
| <p>Management and Systems Engineering Performance</p> <p>Partnership: Develop a collaborative partnership which reflects an open, collaborative customer relationship to achieve program objectives via contract Fee structure and a Performance Management Information System which provides insightful, accurate and timely program status and performance reporting of mission measures and metrics</p> | Boeing Standard Program Management Status Deck | | | | |
| Small Business Program | Subcontractor Socio/Economic mixture | | | | |
| | Subcontractor proposal bid accuracy | | | | |
| | Program level support of Mentor-Protégé program | | | | |
| Audits and Oversight | | | | | |
| Schedule/Cost | Boeing Standard Program Management Status Deck | | | | |

(b) (4)

Figure 1. Program Management Performance QASP

| SBI/Ref Statement Of Objectives (SOO) | WBS Tasks Supporting SOO | SOO Measure | Supporting Metrics | FOC Stan- dard (3 years) | Year 4 Stan- dard | Year 5 Stan- dard | Year 6 Stan- dard | Accept Quality | Monitor/ Report Method | Measure Freq |
|--|--------------------------|---|--------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| Partnership: Develop a collaborative partnership which reflects an open, collaborative customer relationship to achieve program objectives via contract Fee structure and a Performance Management Information System which provides insightful, accurate and timely program status and performance reporting of mission measures and metrics | Supported by all TO WBS | Weighted roll-up of metrics to create SOO measure | | | | | | | | |
| Agency Coordination/cooperation: Provide capabilities to allow for increased internal and external agency coordination, cooperation, information sharing as well as expanded electronic data links | Supported by all TO WBS | Supported by all TO WBS | | | | | | | | |
| Small Business Program: Measure gain in relationships with small business subcontractors and team members under small business program and DHS goals | Supported by all TO WBS | Supported by all TO WBS | | | | | | | | |

(b) (4)

Figure 2. Program Management Performance Factor Examples

8 Deliverables and Delivery Schedule

Boeing shall ensure the preparation and submittal of data deliverables in accordance with the CDRL listed in Figure 3.

| Item | Deliverable | Delivery | | Format |
|------|---|----------|-----------|---|
| | | Initial | Final | |
| 1 | Program Management Plan (5.11) | 5 DARO | 60 DARO | MS Word |
| 2 | System Engineering Management Plan (5.5) | 5 DARO | 60 DARO | MS Word |
| 3 | Subcontractor Management Plan (5.11.3) | 5 DARO | 90 DARO | MS Word |
| 4 | Quality Assurance Plan (5.11.5) | 5 DARO | 60 DARO | MS Word |
| 5 | Configuration and Data Management Plan (5.5.2.1.2) | 5 DARO | 60 DARO | MS Word, MS Excel |
| 5a | Configuration and Data Management Plan Report (5.5.2.1.2) | PDR (at) | Monthly | MS Word, MS Excel |
| 6 | Scope Management Plan (5.11) | TBD | TBD | MS Office |
| 7 | Scope Definition (5.11) | TBD | TBD | MS Office |
| 8 | Risk Management Plan (5.11.4) | 5 DARO | 60 DARO | MS Word |
| 9 | Integrated Logistic Support Services Plan (5.8) | 45 DARO | 90 DARO | MS Word |
| 10 | Integrated Master Schedule (5.11) | 30 DARO | Monthly | MS Project 2000 |
| 11 | WBS/Integrated Master Plan (5.11) | 30 DARO | Monthly | MS Project 2000 |
| 12 | Master Integrated Test Plan and Test Procedure (5.6) | 4 months | 6 months | MS Word, MS Excel |
| 13 | Mission Engineering Analysis Report (5.1) | 6 months | 12 months | MS Office |
| 14 | Training and Development Plan (5.7) | 4 months | 6 months | MS Word, MS Excel |
| 15 | Cost Management Plan (5.11.2) | 30 DARO | 60 DARO | MS Word, MS Excel |
| 16 | Facilities and Infrastructure Design (5.4) | 60 DARO | Monthly | MS Word, MS Excel, CAD/CAE Models, Drawings |

| Item | Deliverable | Delivery | | Format |
|------|---|-------------------------------|---|---|
| | | Initial | Final | |
| 17 | Prime Mission Product Design (5.3) | 60 DARO | Monthly | MS Word, MS Excel, CAD/CAE Models, Drawings |
| 18 | Systems Requirements Review Package (5.5.1 and 5.5.2.2.4) | As Reqd | As Reqd | MS Office |
| 19 | Preliminary Design Review Package (5.5.1 and 5.5.2.2.4) | As Reqd | As Reqd | MS Office |
| 20 | Critical Design Review Package (5.5.1 and 5.5.2.2.4) | As Reqd | As Reqd | MS Office |
| 21 | IT Security Plan (9) | 30 days | 90 days | MS Word, MS Excel |
| 22 | Weekly Reports (5.11) Monthly Reports (5.11) Quarterly Reports (5.11) | 30 DARO 30 DARO 90 DARO | Weekly Monthly Quarterly | MS Word, MS Excel |
| 23 | Bill of Material (BOM) (5.11.2) | TBD | Monthly | MS Excel |
| 24 | Prime Mission Product Design (Final) (5.3) | TBD | TBD | MS Word, MS Excel, CAD/CAE Models Drawings, |
| 25 | Facilities and Infrastructure Design (Final) (5.4) | TBD | TBD | MS Word, MS Excel, CAD/CAE Models Drawings, |
| 26 | Training Material and Aids (5.7) | TBD | TBD | MS Office |
| 27 | EVMS Report (5.11.2.1) | Monthly | Monthly | MS Office |
| 28 | Transition Plan (5.11.1) | 90 DARO | 6 mos. Prior to end of contract performance | MS Office |

Figure 3. Contract Data Requirements List (CDRL) (Continued)

9 Program Security

Boeing's SBI^{net} Program Security shall conform to the policies, procedures, regulation, guidelines, and the published mission of the CBP and DHS central security programs.

Boeing shall work toward the long-term strategies of CBP and in cooperation with the other continuing IT and personal security projects. Boeing shall work with the CBP system security personnel to determine needs in such areas as access control, user administration, contingencies and disaster recovery planning. This interaction will allow selection and implementation of appropriate technical controls and security procedures, understand system vulnerabilities that will enable the system to respond quickly to system security problems.

Boeing shall provide, implement, and maintain an IT Security Plan in accordance with CDRL 21. This plan shall describe the processes and procedures that will be followed to ensure appropriate security of IT resources that are developed, processed, or used under this contract. The plan shall describe those parts of the contract to which this clause applies. Boeing's IT Security Plan shall be compliant with federal laws that include, but are not limited to, the Computer Security Act of 1987 (40 U.S.C. 1441 et seq.), NIST IRs, and the Government Information Security Reform Act of 2000, and the Federal Information Security Management Act of 2002. The IT Plan shall meet IT security requirements in accordance with federal policies and procedures that include, but are not limited to, OMB Circular A-130, Management of Federal Information Resources, Appendix III, and Security of Federal Automated Information Resources. Boeing shall submit, within 30 days of contract award, an IT Security Plan to the CBP CO for approval.

10 Place and Period of Performance

Boeing shall perform the Management Program tasks for the specified periods at locations shown in Figure 4. Travel is not included in the Table.

| WBS | Task Title | Place of Performance | Period of Performance |
|-----|-----------------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| 1.0 | Mission Analysis and Architecture | Boeing Huntington Beach, CA (70%) Boeing Huntsville, AL (20%) Boeing Washington, D.C. (10%) | 09/06 through 09/09 |
| 2.0 | Technology Development | Boeing Huntington Beach, CA (30%) Boeing Huntsville, AL (40%) Boeing Washington, D.C. (30%) | 09/06 through 09/09 |
| 3.0 | Prime Mission Product | L-3 GSI Chantilly, VA L-3 Comm West SLC, UT Kollsman, Merrimack, NH UNISYS, Allentown, PA Perot Systems, Plano, TX DRS Tech, Palm Bay, FL | 09/06 through 09/09 |

| WBS | Task Title | Place of Performance | Period of Performance |
|------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| 4.0 | Facilities and Infrastructure | L-3 GSI Chantilly, VA (30%) | 09/06 through 09/09 |
| 5.0 | System Engineering | Boeing Huntsville, AL (90%) Boeing Washington, D.C. (10%) | 09/06 through 09/09 |
| 6.0 | Test and Evaluation | Boeing Huntsville, AL (90%) Boeing El Paso, TX (10%) | 12/06 through 09/09 |
| 7.0 | Training | USIS, BP Sites Centech Group, BP Sites | 06/07 through 09/09 |
| 8.0 | Integrated Logistics Support | Boeing El Paso, TX (10%) Site Field Offices | 06/07 through 09/09 |
| 9.0 | Deployment and Installation | Site Field Offices (100%) | 09/06 through 09/09 |
| 10.0 | Operation Support | L-3 GSI, L-3 Comm, Kollsman, UNISYS, DRS-Technologies Field Location | 09/06 through 09/09 |
| 11.0 | Program Management | Boeing Huntington Beach, CA (40%) Boeing Huntsville, AL (40%) Boeing Washington, D.C. (20%) | 09/06 through 09/09 |

Figure 4. Place and Periods of Program Management Performance